Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Adele Kristy Connor, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Alan Blackman, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Albane & Sonia Yuen, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Allan and Meri MacKillop, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Andreas & Tara Andis, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Andrew Knight, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? Thi
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Anne Drinkwater & Dale Gilmour, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards,

Anne McCormick & D Mcdonnell, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards,

Ashim & Rosemary Marfatia, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards,

Beth & Andrew Phillips, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Beverly Frenzel, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Bill Binns, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Bob and Pauline Mathrick, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Brett and Rebecca Frizelle, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Carl Tanner and Linda Johnstone, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Chanel Carter, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

David Malouf, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Denis Sullivan, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Don Johnson, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Dr Sharon Wincour, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Edmund Ahern, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Elizabeth Critchley, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Francine Alexandrou, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? Thi
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Gary McDonald and Kevin Rodgers, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Grant Middleton Smith, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Grant Moffit, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Greg Pierce, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Harry & Pamela Bassett, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Herb Jefferson, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Howard and Sue Critchley, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Ian and Jenny Geddes, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards,

Jacqui & Steven Priestley, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Janet and Timothy Porter, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Jason Pearce, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Jenne and Douglas Turner, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Jeremy and Lina Crane, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards,

Joe & Kathy Daggian, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

John, Debora and Jeremy Halpin, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Jordan Catalano, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Leslie Tipene, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Linda and Paul Flanagan, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mark & Cynthia Pretty, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mark & Debbie Edinburg, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Matt and Dianne Hogan, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Michael Gannon, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mr Adam Sheridan, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards,

Mr Barry & Mrs Karmel Wallace, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mr Colin Dove, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mr John & Mrs Nita Lavigne, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mr Paul Clark, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mr Paul Ekstein, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mr Shaun Clyne, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mrs Elizabeth Anne O'Connor, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Mrs Susan Harty, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Ms Sally Land, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Nic Brunner, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards,

Nick & Nathalie Ward, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Niraj Javeri & Nathasha Prasad, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Owen Johnstone-Donnet, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Ralph & Leanne O'Grady, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Ralph Imberger, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Rick McLaren, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Robert Date, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Ronald and Jennifer Greentree, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Sam Trevor Cottee, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Sarah Kennedy, Property owner

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Shane Thomson & Letitia Smith, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Shaun Stephens & Mel Fraser, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Susan, John Douglas and Helen Winten, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Terry & Anne Coyne, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards,

Therese & Richard Norgard, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Tim and Jesse Langley, Property owners

Property Owners

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not currently staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council
planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This
policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Tom Greatorex, Property owner